‡!"®([[Ù|b‹"!"~"(Ù®i‡X|b@Ù,,,(‡Ù‹(‡|(‹Ù



2 $\hat{o} + \hat{I} \hat{U} \otimes \hat{e} + \hat{e} \hat{a} \hat{v} \otimes \hat{c}$ ($X: + 2 \hat{I} \otimes \hat{c} \otimes \hat$

Gender Disparities in Economic Freedom and Human Capital*

Abstract: When a country relevel panel data and country fixed effects, I estimate

1. Introduction

In high-income countries,

differs significantly for men and women (Fike 2016). Possibly as a proxy for these differences, some research controls for religious participation in Islam or Catholicism.¹

(EFW), in 2017, began addressing

these gender Women, Business, and

the Law Gender, Institutions, and Development DatabaETl30034Qq.000009 0 & 9 reWhBTF2

Since its inception in 1996, hundreds of research studies have considered how economic freedom

Further, given the disconnect between educational attainment and human capital (Angrist et al. 2021), I analyze explicit measures of human capital by sex including the Harmonized Learning Outcomes (HLO) produced by the World Bank and literacy rates.

3. Empirical approach and data

Economic Freedom of the World and Gender

country-level from 1975 to the present (Gwartney et al. 2022). The index scores countries higher when property rights are more secure, trade freer, money and prices more stable, and government spending and regulations are lower (Hall and Lawson 2014). The index potentially ranges from 0 to 10

The GDLR address two of the three reasons given by Feldmann (2017) for a relationship between economic freedom and education. First, if women face fewer opportunities for market trade, returns to schooling would be lower, implying less investment in human capital. Second, if access to capital markets is more obstructed for women, returns to schooling would be lower, implying less investment in human capital.

The EFW then uses the GDLR to adjust its Area 2 measure of Economic Freedom. In the analysis below, I use the historical index of economic freedom, unadjusted by the GDLR. I also use the GDLR index. This index equals one for countries where men and women experience the same amount of economic freedom. This difference is smaller for country-years where women experience less economic freedom than do men. Figure 1 maps the country average of this index during the sample period. Kuwait, Oman, Jordan, and Egypt have some of the lowest scores on the GDLR; in most high income countries, women experience similar economic freedom as do men.

Twenty-three countries have a GDLR equal to one in all the observed years since 2000. In some specifications, I exclude these countries with always observed gender parity under the law.²

Measures of Human Capital by Gender

I analyze

Development Indicators measure a variety of gender disparities in human capital attainment.

The measures I use include the ratio of female to male enrollment in primary school, in

secondary school, and in primary and secondary school

enrollment may not fully capture eventual educational attainment levels, educational attainment is typically measured for the population aged 25 years and older. Given the sample period of the data and the number of years necessary to demonstrate an effect on older populations, I focus on enrollment measures. Further, I use a variety of gender differences in human capital to explore how gender differences in economic freedom affect gender differences in human capital.

Research continues to demonstrate a disconnect between educational attainment and learning.³ Because of this disconnect, Angrist et al. (2021) develop the Harmonized Learning Outcomes (HLO) Database. The database provides measures of learning that are comparable across countries and time. Further, they provide gender-specific measures of HLO. I analyze below both the female-specific HLO as well as the gap between male and female HLO in a country.

In results not reported here, s

Whether a country is a Muslim country is likely picked up in the state fixed effects. To the

to 1.16. In some countries, girls enroll in primary school much less often than do boys; in others, girls outnumber boys in primary school.

The HLO variables provide measures of learning comparable across countries and time. I explore measures in three subjects: math, reading, and science. The average difference in all three subjects is positive; on average, girls have learned more than boys. This average masks significant differences across countries. In some countries, girls have learned much less than boys and in others, girls have learned much more than boys. Outcomes for only girls are also in the mid-400s with standard deviations between

65 and 97.

The final outcome I consider is female literacy rates. The range of female literacy rates in the sample is quite wide: from 1u(Ao41 72.024 grais)(e)4(mi)-3als880 0 1 366.67 543.19 Tm0 g0 G[Outc)4(on

positive coefficient on GDLR would imply that more gender equality correlates with a higher ratio of female enrollment to male enrollment. The general pattern is that the effect of changes in EFW on gender ratios in enrollment is positive and statistically insignificant. The coefficients on the GDLR also show a tendency for the relationship to be positive and statistically insignificant.

The bottom panel presents results for the gender gap in learning by subject area. Larger values of the dependent variable imply that girls have relatively more human capital than boys. The results imply that more economically free countries experience larger gender gaps. Increased gender parity is followed by larger gender gaps, except in science where the gap decreases. None of these effects are statistically significant.

conclusion that,	as men and wom	en experience simi	lar degrees of ec	onomic freedom,	that female
human capital in	creases.				

- Feldmann, Horst. (2017). Economic freedom and human capital investment. *Journal of Institutional Economics*. 13:2, 421-445.
- Feldmann, Social Indicators

 Research, 154, 235–256 https://doi-org.proxy195.nclive.org/10.1007/s11205-020-02555-w
- Fike, Rosemarie (2016). Gender Disparity in Legal Rights and Its Effect on Economic Freedom.

 In James Gwartney, Robert Lawson, and Joshua Hall, Economic Freedom of the World:

 2016 Annual Report (Fraser Institute): 189 212.
- Fike, Rosemarie (2017). Adjusting for Gender Disparity in Economic Freedom and Why It

 Matters. In James Gwartney, Robert Lawson, and Joshua Hall, Economic Freedom of the

 World: 2017 Annual Report (Fraser Institute): 189 211.
- Gakidou, Emmanuela, Krycia Cowling, Rafael Lozano, Christopher JL Murray. 2010. Increased educational attainment and its effect on child mortality in 175 countries between 1970 and 2009: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet* 376(9745): 959-974. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61257-3.
- Grier, R. (2023). The Causal Effect of Economic Freedom on Female Employment & Education. Free Market Institute. Rochester, NY: SSRN.
- Gwartney, James, Joshua Hall, Robert Lawson, Ryan Murphy, Simeon Djankov, and Fred McMahon. (2022). *Economics Freedom of the World: 2022 Annual Report*. Fraser Institute
- Hall, Joshua and Robert A. Lawson (2014). Economic freedom of the world: an accounting of the literature. *Contemporary Economic Policy* 32(1): 1-19.
 - https://doi.org/10.1111/coep.12010

Hassan, Gazi and Arusha Cooray (2015). Effects of male and female education on economic growth: Some evidence from Asia, *Journal of Asian Economics*, 36: 97-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2014.09.001.

Economic Development and Cultural Change, 61(1): 39 72.

Klasen, Stephan & Francesca Lamanna (2009) The Impact of Gender Inequality in Education and Employment on Economic Growth: New Evidence for a Panel of Countries, Feminist Economics, 15:3, 91-132, DOI: 10.1080/13545700902893106

Mankiw, N., D. Romer, & D. Weil. (1992). A contribution to the empirics of economic growth.

*Quarterly Journal of Economics, 107: 407-437.

Journal of

Money, Credit and Banking, 41(5): 961 986

UNESCO. 2022. Global Education Monitoring Report Gender Report: Deepening the debate on those still left behind. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. Paris, France.

Weichselbaumer, D., and R. Winter-

of Competition and Equal Treatment

Table 2: Sample composition by outcome variable

		Girls/boys enrollment	
	primary	secondary	primary & secondary
years N	2005-2020	2000, 2005-2020	2000, 2005-2020
countries	153	144	144

HLO gender gap (female-male) and female scores

Table 4: Economic freedom, gender differences in economic freedom, and female

human capital

	girls' H	girls' HLO math		girls' HLO reading	
	no controls	not all parity	no controls	not all parity	
EFW_{t-5}	20.444*	16.459	-12.044	-10.782	
	(11.010)	(12.415)	(15.181)	(16.844)	
GDLR _{t-5}	1.105	-8.434	80.327	98.967*	
	(24.648)	(29.845)	(54.013)	(58.865)	
N	158	109	228	178	
R-squared	0.988	0.987	0.978	0.977	

	girls' HL	girls' HLO science		girls' literacy	
	no controls	not all parity	no controls	not all parity	
EFW _{t-5}	23.494	28.598	2.171**	1.923	
	(15.226)	(19.513)	(1.070)	(1.188)	
GDLR _{t-5}	15.695	11.554	25.208**	23.882**	
	(49.041)				