BRIDWELL INSTITUTE WORKING PAPER SERIES

Rich in Things, Poor in Spirit: Is Economic Freedom Bad for Women and Families?

- 31RZKHUH DQG DW QR WLPH KDV WKHUH HYHU EHHQ D SHRS property above a condition of the most opprespieweury and savagery scarcely distinguishable IURP DQLPDOL
- 3\$ VHUYDQW LV ZRUWK D KR-XJlóshepthBcoologopetterOO RI DSSOLDQFHV
- ³ (FRQRPLF JURZWK LV DPetPerRBoloEDtKDe, 2002/05HUDWLYH ´
- ³/LEHUDOLVP GRHV QRW LJQIBASUTHALITHAMONNE HELDOF MEDENDFIQOLIN QUEATION OF THOSE LW KRWKH IUHHGRP WR VHDUFK IRU LW ´-RKDQ 1RUEHUJ

Introduction

There is nothing new in the debate abthord efficacy of markets and capitalism general especially concerning to effects on women and families the extended scope of human history, it is a recent phenomenon that we contain attempt to further equal standing for women in social, economic, political, and cultural arenothere two hundred years ago, I would not have been employed as an economist asking these questions likely would have been dead by age forty five. The incredible escape frog minding material poverty anglendered servitude seems impossible and miraculous the pasteventy years economic freedoms extended to American women unlike previous centuries. The protection of private property rights and the rule of law necessitate equality before the law, something heretofore denied to women. Property rights are human rights as these protections have been extended to women over time, they have more agency and more freedom content time and talen including vast opportunities for paid work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities come with tradeoffs inside the household work outside the family hom here eopportunities eopportunities eopportunities for paid work outside the family hom here eopportunities eopportunities for paid work outside the family hom here eopportunities eopportunities for paid work outside the family h

household production with little ability to outsource, giving them few alternatives for work outside the home. This, along with a lack of property rights and equality under the law, meant

2012), greatesubjective wellbeing (Wolfers and Stevenso@008; Fischer, 2008) and fosters social trust (Beggren et al2006). Economic freedomorrelates with better family opportunities, including less childhood labor, lower childhood mortality, greater income equality (Gwastney Lawson, 2004) and greater life satisfaction (eenhoven, 200,000 vaska and Takashim 2006; Gropper et al., 2010).

Davis and Williamsor(2022) point to the vast literature that examines the social and economic EHQHILWV RIZRIPCH (Qurity Minital tibres). DnQv but Minital tibres which decreases female labor force participation and entrepreneurship and increases the gender wage gap (Htun et al., 2019 Islamet al., 2019 Malta et al., 2019 Amin & Islam, 2015 Gonzales tal., 2015 Zveglich and Rodgers 2003 Ogloblin, 1999, 2005). Davis and Williamson (2019 2022) find that Individualism is closely associated with personal autonomy and respect for individual rights, values that support a cultural understanding of women as autonomous agents and the moral equals of men. This contrasts with collectivist mentalities which VXERUGLQDWHZRPH goals to what are deementore significant social goals.

Moreover, increasing women's property rights increation supply Hallward Driemeier Gajigo, 2015; Heathand Tan 2019, raises innovation rate fahn,

that marriage is the foundation of societyd it promotes the interests of childrentwork

Opportunity Reconciliation Act 1996)Declining birth rates in industrialized economies
therefore are a concern for the future as people are the source of human, empiratelying
populations are associated with slower growth rates (Maestals 2016). Julian Simon (1996)
arguing against the Malthusiandermonstrated thatuman beings are the ultimate resource, but
they require conomic freedonto create more abundandereover, aging populations
accompanied by century of growing government expenditures and delating with declining
birth rates presentooming fiscal problems (Sheiner, 2017)

There is No Substitute for Economic Freedom

Economists agree that groth is desirable. Economic Freedom provides intistitutional nexus necessary for economic growth hich stems from rising worker productivity elding income growth. Rising incomes extendour range of choices and further liberateus. Industrialized economies over the past two hundred years have broken from the shackles of the Malthusian equilibrium (Goldin 2016, Lucas 2004) which allows all people but especially women to have greater agency over their liveral to live longer lives. Economic freedom allows us to live longer and happier lives, yet income growth relates with declining birth rates. Shirth rates started to decline after the baby boom with mefluctuations after the 1970 and ther began to increase before the 2008 recession, and have since declined (Pew, 2020) WKLV VLJQLI\3 PXFK JURZWK diskorint the William Wiff and children This question will be addresseth future sections.

Economic growth requires productive peophed institutions that unleas thuman creativity through entrepreneurial discovery and service. Economic freezoless ential Goldin (2016, 62) explains ³ 7 K H DELOLW\ RIQDWLRQV WR IRVWHU KXPDQ FDSLV

institutions. One set of these enabling institutions is the legal and extralegal rules that define property rights in man. Another set includes a host of related institutions such as the franchise, form of government (due procestise rule of law DQG Ulffrodectylrights are human rights (Alchain1993) because the operationalize human agenous dinduce social cooperation, across genders, races, and tribets KH\DOORZXVWRVD\3\HVSOHDVH'RU cooperative way (Otteson, 209). Property rights within the context of the market economy allow each person to decide how and when they will ration their time, treasure, and Aldregt. with the rule of law, this erodes endemic patterns of political decidella privilege (Candela and Piano, 2018). Individualism, a hallmark value of unconstrained markets and economic freedom, is associated with greater gender equality (D&VA) illiamson, 2022). The catallactic process of commerce brings women into the community gives them the opportunity to serve others with their human capital, to be served by others dyields growing economic productivity stered by individual entrepreneurship

Economic freedom leads to greater autonomy, personal choice, and the ability steand take risks according to desires, preferences, and situations (Gwanttaley 2004). Unconstrained markets break down traditions and custofinatuphold primitive and punitive discriminatory practices as such, marketare moralizing spaces (Stolar Choi, 2022) in which reciprocal trust and service are encouraged apatterns of discrimination erode over time. Nobel laureate Gary Becker (1971) pointed out that markets make people pay for discrimination betoplasses a monetary consequence missed opportunities to transact with productive people.

Economic freedom is demonstrative of an opportunity society in which human capital freely flows to its moshighly valueduse. Economic freedom is measured across five key areas: the size of government, property rights, regulatory burden, freedom to trade internationally, and

sound money As Smith(1982) first argued, private property rights we iteal to unleashing personal contributions to the common goodley are also necessary to unleash greater gains from increasing productivity. Property rights could accomplish what no well

Over the same periofemalecollege attendance rates surged. In 1970, 58 percent of enrolled college students were men, and 42 perwærtewomen. By 2025this will invert with an expected 57 percent female and 43 percent male; these numbers hold for by the fourt two year institutions (U.S. Department of Education, 2019) is affected the labor force particularly around 1979 when the percentage of women who are doctors, lawyers, and social worker began to decline The percentage of women who are doctors, lawyers, professors and managers tarted to grow until the mid-1980 swhen the latter category was a more significant percentage of the female labor force than the former (Galding, 13).

Marriage and divorce rates changed dramatically over the same period. In 1950, 82 percent of women were married and spent 88 percent of their adult lives in marriage. In 2000, 62 percent of women were married and spent 60 percent of their adult livesendal in happened while life expectancy increased (Greenwood and Guner, 2009, p. 1200 Laureate Anguseaton (2013) speculates that day, an average Caucasian female has a fifty percent chance king it to her 100 birthday. 5 HYROXWLRQVLQSULYDWHSURSHUW\ULJKWVI and potential. It also allows all of us to liveonger, more satisfying lives Technological change, which allows households to make different outsourcing decisions in consumption and capital goods, changes marriage decisions and household time investments (Ogburn and Nimkoff, 1955; Becker, 1965 Cowan, 1983) From 2011 to 2021 female marriage and divorce rates declined (US Census Bureau, 2023 yomenare increasingly (e) 7()-20(l) 7(i) 7(fe) 6()] TJ ET Q q 0.00000912 0 6

function. This meantongwork hours in the fields and the household tirely controlled by her husbandHusbands had so muchntrolthat VRPH ZRPHQ FHOHEU Do VASta Ge 3 VSLQVV maledominance and paternalish whe are now in a new stage for women, KH SRV Want RGHUQ 3 (YHU\:RPD QtóolOtlwold Hundrelphandit is still evolving. It is not only laws anchorms that have changed allowing women autonomy in both the home and the market plate heretofore were unobtainable, but technology, spurred by the increasing worker productivity. Women can now choospareers and family, yet he biological role of the mother has yet to be replaced by me\(M\). Omenvalue careeand family, and determining what to outsource within household production functions crucialy et complexset of decisions for women and families Having more access to resourates was parents to make more investments in their children (Kearney2023). This effectively changes both the income and substitution effects within household production by lowering opportunity costsgle-parent families often are lowerresourcedin terms of time and income because there is only one parleich means they cannot as effective by utsource and are particularly burdened by the high cost of childcare, for example.

Do Love and Marriage Still Go Together Like a Horse and Carriage?

As thede jureproperty rightsfranchisehasextendedo women over the past seventy years, the de facto norms have also changed. This changes marriage and and hijligelds different incomes inupperincomeversus ower-income families. The VRQJ ³/RYH DQG 0 DUUL popularized by Frank Sinatrases a now antiquated analogy of the horse and carriage, which oncewere complement goods The automobile changed all of that levertheless do love and marriage still go togethe Men and women are better materially than they were in 1965.

Labor productivity across genders together with the availability of resources increasing hus

percent more calories today than in 19Desilver, 2016.) We have more access to food energy and require less of it. Can now outsource housecleaning to maid service, dry cleaning what was previously done at home with iron can be takencheaner for two dollarsper shirt.

Womenacross all income quintiles are emancipated from the laborious work of doing laundry by hand.

Expanding private property rights to women has unleashed their human capital outside the home and yieldshigher returns to their labor and growing family incomes. Women now have more bargaining power within theome, which changes their marriage decision in 1965, almost 90 percent of women aged 229 were married, which is down to 32 percent in 202 (Brown, 2021) According to the US Census Bureau (2021), in the dian age first marriage for women is increasing, from about 2 to 28 years old over the sampleriod When surveyed about why they are delaying marriage,

families, or multigenerational families are often unstable (anahan et al. 2013McLanahan 2011; Tach et al. 201; Tach 2012. The rise in singlemother households is both an economic and a cultural problem. It is a product of changing norms around cohabitation and early marriage. Kearney (2023) suggests this also an economic problem due to a reduction in male wages ZKLFK FUHDWHV D ³PDUULDJHDELOLW\ SUREOHP´DW OF many government interventions suggested by Cass and others on the newlook of the newlook of the 1970s to the 1980 of the 1970 of the 197

The family is where we raise children, love each other, and develop the Smithian notion of sympathy that extends beyond the immediate family erosion the family is to ensure social and economic malaise. The family is essential, and matheirs dispensable the family and the household production functions we grow in wealth individually and corporately, we can outsource more through trade. This is indisputably a good a high gnost importantly for low-income families who suffer greater timed income constraint reaking out of autarky happens first in the household and then happens regionally and nationally our cing through trade allows us to experience tallaxy² the process of commercial excharges which we bring people into the community. We grow those whom we are served by and serve.

However, the most important things that happen within the household cannot be outsourced. We cannot pay people to love our children for us; we cannot substitute quality time with our children. Alexa may be able to set an alarm for bedtime, bathitotlovingly tuck a childinto bed making them feel secure and loved. We can outsource much, but the role of parents has not been displaced by technology lowerskilled labor. While nannies make it possible for mothers

to leave the homfor work, true parental love cannot be outsourced, and if it is, it counters great consequence he New York Pos(2006) lists 3 7 K H 2 X W V R X WHEN LOGS IS WELD LIGHT Q W hire peopleto carry our child via surrogad(\$80,000) night nurses duties including changing diapers, burping and feeding(\$166,075 per year) potty train(\$3700) even teach your child about the birds and the be(\$396) and later take them on college visits

stimulatemore children? Conservative think tanks have been addressing these questions for decades.

In 2000, the Heritage Foundation released a policy brief wherein they argued **Fradehal** and state governments should take an active role in protecting the traditional family, including setting WDUJHW QXPEHUV IRU GLYRUFH UDWH UHGXFWLRQV RIIHUI offering a oneWLPH WD[FUHn@atrieVG WURP1_DDQ_ZHDV\VZKHQ WKHLU FKLOGU divorce education, eliminating rfault divorce, and lengthen the mandated process of obtaining a divorce by two years (Fagan & Rector, 2000, p.K2)arney (2023) suggests thathelp working-class mailage rates bestive should expand the Earned Income Tax Credit, reduce incarcerationand boost prisoner reentry programmsleed reducing incarceration rates for victimless drug crimes would help leiwcomefamilies stabilizewhile also providing the social benefit of reducingnoarceration costs across the country

7RGD\¶V QDWLRQDO FRQVHUYDWLYHV UHQHZ FODLPV WR LOH H[DPSOH 2UHQ &DVV LQ KLV 37KH 2QFH DQG)XWXUH :RUNI attempts to salvage it. His arguments, in part, stem from his disessesteurn to the economy of the 1970s (Cass, 2018), where claims men could provide for their families and women could remain at homethus protecting not only the traditional family uhibut themobility of men in the labor force. His arguments suggest that economic freedom has given us lots of cheap stuff but has taken our virtues and eroded our institutions.

Cass and King (https://americancompass.org/alneily-incomesupplementacredit/) argue for

D 3) D P L O \ , Q F R P H 6 X S S O H P H Q W D O & U H G L W '), 6 & Z K L F K K

but rather a stipend paid only to working families and further suggiesats a necessary step in

the aggressive expansion of the social compact. He rightly critiques the welfare state as a

destructive social and economic force (Cass, 2018), yet this proposal would only increase the welfare state and obfuscate family tradeoffsconomics teaches us that we need value creation, not welfare creation, through technocratic plannifogs et alwould bring even more state intervention into the complicated and highly personal family untitiese proposed solutions are ZKDW 0LVHV ZRXOG GHVFULEH DVCash Ktiplends to Wantilles RhayLQWHUY sound good on paperet the state rarry gives cash without strings at the problem.

Olasky (1994) effectively showed how US welfare programs destroyed black families by creating incentives for outf-birth wedlock. These types of interventions fail both theoretically and empirically. Welfare must observe the truths of economics, the realities of incentives, and the knowledge problem. Henter Catholic social teaching of subsidiarity is aligned with the Hayekian knowledge problem. Even the most word aning public bureaucrats or reprofit philanthropists cannot overcome the knowledge term, and as suchocal knowledge must precede action. We can know what the problem is with dount owing how to solve it.

Cass takes the technocratic approach to fix what he vaistles primary problems: the erosion of trust, the breakdown of the family, and declining middless living standardsy inviting government into the familyOn this last point, he could not be further from the trultis far better to be a middlelass family today than in 1950. The cost of resources in terms of worker productivity has dropped meteorically. The Simon Abundance, which measures the price commodities, shows that resources became 396 percent more abundant between 1980 and 2017 (Pooley& Tupey, 2018) However, when the franchise of economic freedom includes women, everyone is better off. This is because wean accessheaper and better goods and services and more choices. This gives new opportunities for families to carve out a life and production

function that works best for them. Moreover, the higher incomes for women give them the

FIGURE 1

The regulatory

like childcare and more stringent requirements for work eligibility in those same fields (Deyo, 2022).

Examples include private-inome childcare service which often are a way for mothers to both provide childcare for others and their children and simultaneously grow their incomes, as well as preschool teachers which afford women more flexible schedules and often allow them to bring their children to work for a reduced cost. Preschool teachers rank number one in average and number of regulations required to perform the work (Kneppat, 2022). Public preschool

(pet assistance) are some of the high state LG 3 PDQXDO ODERU´JLJ HFRQRP\ M. The gig economy also includes high come workers making overix figures It allows women and workingmothers to have flexibility. Despite arguments that gender gaps persist for women in the gig economy (Liangt al, 2018; Barzilay, Ber David, 2017), it is an avenue for empowerment. The gig economy has low barriers and try and offers flexibility and choices for women to increase their income or opt of full-time work (Slaughter, 2015a, 2015b).

As economic freedom expanded for women in the US over the twentieth and into theftweenty centuries, household production has drastically changedanding ZRPHQ¶V HFRQRPLF DC property rights created new incentives to invest in female human capital (Geodates 2012). Economic growth allows all people to outsource more than greenotransitions are without costs.

family. Whatworking- and middleclassfamilies need is more agency empowered by economic freedom.

References

Alchian, Armen A. "Property rights. The concisecyclopedia of economics_liberty Fund(1993).

Altman, Morris. "How much economic freedom is necessary for economic growth? Theory and evidence. "Economics Bulletin 5.2 (2008): 420.

Angulo-Guerrero, María J., Salvador Pé**M**zreno, and Isabel M. Aba**G**uerrero. "How economic freedom affects opportunity and necessity entrepreneurship in the OECD count**ries** rial of Business Resear **C** (2017): 3037.

Barzilay, Arianne Renan, and Anat Beavid. "Platform inequality: Gender in the gigonomy." Seton Hall L. Rev.47 (2016): 393.

Baumol, William J., Robert E. Litan, and Carl J. Schramm. "Sustaining entrepreneurial capitatism and Society2.2 (2007).

Belkin, Lisa. ³ 7 K H - **2 % W** 5 H Y **R 10 E WEWLYR n Q** Times Magazin **O** ctober, 2003), 42ff. https://www.nytimes.com/2003/10/26/magazine/dpt-out-revolution.html

Berggren, Niclas, and Henrik Jordahl. "Free to trust: Economic freedom and social dapkteds'59.2 (2006): 141-169.

Bernal, Kyle ³7KH , . 0SDFW RI *RYHUQPHQW 5HJXODWLRQV RQ 6PDOO %XVLQ https://executivegov.com/articles/threpactof-governmentregulationson-small-businesænterprises/

Block, Walter, James Gwartney, and Robert A. Lawson. "Economic freedom of the world 1997.5 (1996).

Bramlett, Matthew D., and William D. Mosher. "Cohabitation, marriage, divorce, and remarriage in the United States." (2002).

Brenner, Johanna, and Nancy Holmstrom. "Socialist strategy today Socialist Register 9 (2013).

Brown, A. R. & Manning, W. D. (2021). Relationship status trends according to age and gend@0@20.f9amily Profiles, FP-21-25. Bowling Green, OH: National Center f5amily & Marriage Researchattps://doi.org/10.25035/ncfmr/f21-25

%XUHDX RI/DERU 6WDWLVW6LHFSVWP18PREQUVXPHU′38U6LF%XQBBIK RI/DERU 6WDhttps://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/cpi.pdf

% X U H D X R I / D E R U 6 W D W L V W L F V 8 6 'H S D U W P H Q W R I / D E R U 7 K H (F R Q F participation in the 20century.https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2000/feb/wk3/art03.htm

Chambers, Dustin, et al. "The Effect of Regulation on Alborome Households." (2019).

Candela, Rosolino, and Ennio Emanuele Piano. "Rule of Encyclopedia on Law and Economics, Alain Marciano and Giovanni Battista Ramello (eds.), Sprin@@18).

Cass, OrenThe once and future worker: A vision for the renewal of work in AmeEiocaounter Books, 2018.

Fisher, Mark.Capitalist realism: Is there no alternative John Hunt Publishing, 2022.

Fry, Richard, Ruth Igielnik, and Eileen Patten. "How Millennials today compare with their grandparents 50 years

Kleiner, M. M., and E. S. Vorotnikov. "At what cost? State and national estimates of the economic costs of occupational licensing! hstitute for Justice, Arlington, V(2018).

³/DERU) RUFH 3 DUWLFLS DWLRQ 5 DWH IRU : RPHQ + LJKHVW LQ WKH 'LVW' Statistics, 2023 https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2023/labforce-participation-rate-for-women-highestin-the-district-of-columbia-in-2022.htm

/HPNH -D\PH 6 ,QWHUMXULVGLFWLRQDO FRPSHPWblicVChoRc@1660364G WKH PDU (2016): 291313.

Liang, Chen, et al. "Gender wage gap in online gig economy and gender differences in job preferentials!" at SSRN 326624(2018).

Lucas, Robert E. "The industrial revolution, past fartdre. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis." (2017).

McLanahan, Sara, Laura Tach, and Daniel Schneider. "The causal effects of father all senural review of sociology39 (2013): 399427.

McLanahan S. Family instability and complexity aftercommarital birth: outcomes for children in Fragile Families. In: Carlson M, England P, editorSocial Class and Changing Families in an Unequal Ame Stanford, CA: Stanford Univ. Press; 2011. pp. 1483.

Maestas, Nicole, Kathleen J. Mullen, and David Powelle effect of population aging on economic growth, the labor force and productivityNo. w22452. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2016.

Marx, Karl. Capital: volume J Vol. 1. Penguin UK, 2004.

Menjou, Mindy, Michael Bednarczuk, and Arhlunter. "Beauty School Debt and Droputs: How State Cosmetology Licensing Fails Aspiring Beauty Workenstitute for Justice 2021).

Miller, Terry, Anthony B. Kim, and James Robe 2010 index of economic freedow/all Street Journal, 2010.

Murray, Charles. "Coming apart: The state of white America, -1290600." Forum Books, 2012.

NBER Macroeconomics Annual 2008, Volume 23 Volume Author/Editor: Daron Acemoglu, Kenneth Rogoff, and Michael Woodford, editors Volume Publisher: University of Chicago Press Volume ISBB6-002047 Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/acem08Conferene Date: April 45, 2008 Publication Date: April 2009 Chapter Title: Marriage and Divorce since World War II: Analyzing the Role of Technological Progress on the Formation of Households Chapter Author: Jeremy Greenwood, Nezih Guner Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c728Pages 23-279.

Norberg, Johan Progress: Ten reasons took forward to the future Simon and Schuster, 2017.

2¶5RXUNH 7KRPDV : K\ DUH PDUULHG SHRSOH KDSSLHU LI WKH\ KDYH (2023.https://ifstudies.org/blog/wharemarriedpeoplehappierif-they-havelessfree-time

Otteson, James Rlonorable business: A framework for business in a just and humane s Dietotyd University Press, 2019.

Ovaska, Tomi, and Ryo Takashima. "Economic policy and the level of stelle ived well-being: An international comparison. The Journal of Societion comparison. The Journal of Societion (2006): 30-325.

Nyström, Kristina. "The institutions of economic freedom **entr**epreneurship: evidence from panel datablic choice136 (2008):

Page, Marianne E., and Ann Huff Stevens. "Will you miss me when I am gone? The economic consequences of absent parents." (2002).